

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,902	SHIBASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Daniel Evans.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendments were proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Evans.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)